

UTRGV SOM Research Symposium 2024 Final Instructions for In Person Oral Presentations

- The Symposium will take place February 9-10, 2024 at the Mission Event Center. All authors and participants must register through our <u>registration portal</u>. Once registered, you will be emailed instructions on how to get your access to our virtual platform, *Symposium™ by ForagerOne*.
- submit their Final PPT Presentation via email to somresearchsymposium@utrgv.edu by the end of the day Monday February 5, 2024. If you make any changes to the PPT after Feb. 5th then you must bring that file in a USB to be pre-loaded to the presentation laptop 30 minutes prior to your scheduled presentation.
- If <u>unable to present in person, a video presentation will be required.</u> All videos must be uploaded by midnight Monday February 5, 2024, to our virtual platform, *Symposium™ by ForagerOne*. Please refer to your "Oral Presentation Guidelines" for uploading instructions.
- All presentations will have a total of 15 minutes inclusive of Q & A. Attached is a draft of the "Order of Presentations" which has date, time, and location of your presentation.
- Each session will have a moderator keeping time and presenters will be notified when 3 & 1 minute(s) remain.
- Your In-person Oral Presentation will be evaluated by our Faculty Judges, so, for your reference, the Evaluation Rubric is below. The top presentation in each category will be recognized during the Awards Ceremony on Saturday February 10th.
- <u>Presentations will not be allowed to extend beyond the allowed 15 minutes and will be stopped by the moderator.</u>
- No additional electronic media (i.e. sound or video files, 3D moving graphics etc) are permitted. No additional props (i.e. costumes, musical instruments, lab equipment etc) are permitted.



Evaluation Rubric

• The judges will score Oral Presentations using the following rubric:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
Background and					
Research Question:	1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter					
provide an					
understanding of					
background and					
clearly present the					
research question?					
Results and					
Conclusion:	1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter					
clearly describe resul	ts				
and conclusions? Did					
the presenter describ	е				
any societal impact?					
Quality of the					
presentation: Was	1	2	3	4	5
the presentation clear	r				
(no jargon), logical,					
and concise?					
Understood by a non-	•				
scientific					
audience? Stayed on					
time?					
Quality of the					
presenter:	1	2	3	4	5
Enthusiastic, good					
stage presence, and					
confident?					
Quality of the Slide:					
Was the PowerPoint	1	2	3	4	5
slide well- organized,					
insightful, and					
attractive?					

• The judge's verdict is final.