

Evaluation Rubric for CEP Faculty Research Award

Nominee _____

Name of Evaluator _____

Purpose: *This award honors a faculty member whose research contributions have impacted the field, has been recognized by the professional community, or has demonstrated new or creative approaches to research methodologies.*

	Exemplary	Developing	Needs Improvement	Points
Research and/or Creative Performance Projects in Quality Outlets	--Strong evidence of research and/or creative performance projects in the previous five years --There is evidence that research and/or creative performance projects in the previous five years are published at venues of high quality (4-5 pts)	--Evidence of research and/or creative performance projects (2-3 pts)	--There is little or no evidence of research and/or creative performance projects (0-1 pts)	
Scholarly Independence	--Strong evidence that the nominee has a balance between scholarly independence and collaboration/mentoring other faculty and graduate students on research or creative projects --Nominee has a combination of lead or sole authored research projects in the previous five years as well as engagement in collaborative projects that are	--Evidence that the nominee has a balance between scholarly independence and collaboration/mentoring other faculty and graduate students on research or creative projects (2-3 pts)	--There is little or no evidence of scholarly independence or collaborative work (0-1 pts)	

	disseminated in high quality venues (4-5 pts)			
Impact and Contributions to the Profession	--Strong evidence of significant contributions to the field --Contributions to the field have been recognized by a professional community (e.g., award, book) --(4-5 pts)	--Evidence of contributions to the field (2-3 pts)	--There is little or no evidence of significant contributions to the field (0-1 pts)	
Record of External Grant Submissions and Funding	--Strong evidence of external grant submissions as a Principal Investigator (PI) Investigator in the previous five years --There is evidence of significant external funding as a PI in the previous five years (4-5 pts)	--Evidence of external grant submissions and/or funding as a co-PI, key personnel, or project evaluator on external or internal grant submissions (2-3 pts)	--There is little or no evidence of external grant submissions (0-1 pts)	
Total Score				